



**MAGNA CITY COUNCIL
MEETING AGENDA
March 11, 2025**

Webster Center
8952 West Magna Main Street
Magna, Utah 84044

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Magna City Council will hold a meeting for presentation, discussion, and possible action at **6:00 PM** on the **11th day of March 2025** at the Webster Center, 8952 West Magna Main Street Magna, Utah as follows:

**** Portions of the meetings may be closed for reasons allowed by statute. Motions relating to any of the items listed below, including final action, may be taken.**

Anticipated meeting duration: 120 Minutes

6:00 PM – PUBLIC MEETING

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. Determine Quorum
3. Pledge of Allegiance

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS (Limited to 3 minutes per person)

Any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise scheduled for a public hearing on this evening's agenda, should sign-up on the "Public Comment" form located at the entrance. Persons signing up to speak will be called up in the order that they signed-in on the "Public Comment" form. Persons addressing the City Council shall step-up to the microphone and give their name for the record. The City Council is interested in hearing directly from residents. In an effort to be both transparent and responsive, the City Council previously adopted rules to help govern public meetings. As such, Councilmembers cannot respond directly to comments during public comment. However, Magna City staff will be responsible for responding directly to citizens who request a response. Should an item on tonight's agenda generate a question you would like answered, there is a QR code at the front entrance. Please scan the QR code and send your question directly to city staff. The City Council will not interrupt the evening's agenda to take questions from the audience once the formal meeting has commenced. ***Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Governing Body.***

5. STAKEHOLDER REPORTS

- A. Unified Police Department - **Chief Del Craig** (5 minutes)
- B. Pleasant Green Cemetery – **Sharon Nicholes** (5 minutes)
- C. Magna 4th of July - **Kari Duckworth** (5 minutes)

6. CONSENT AGENDA – **Mayor Eric Barney (3 minutes)**

- A. Approve City Council Meeting Minutes

a. February 25, 2025 City Council Meeting

7. PRESENTATION ITEMS

- A. My Hometown Initiative – *Mark and Jana Rupp* (15 minutes)
- B. Consideration of an Ordinance (**OAM2024-001295**) Amending Sections 14.12.060, Sidewalks; 18.12.050, Lots; 19.50.060, Landscaping of Park Strips; 18.14.080, Street Lighting; Clarifying Language on Pavement, Turf, Plants and Ground Cover, Street Lighting Requirements, and Trees in Park Strips – *Brian Tucker, Planning Manager* (10 minutes)
- C. Discuss Current Code for Magna City’s Abatement Process – *David Brickey, City Manager* (5 minutes)
- D. Discuss Communities That Care (CTC) and Magna City Memorandum of Understanding – *Trish Hull, Council Member* (5 minutes)
- E. Discuss Communities that Care (CTC) and Utah Transportation Authority (UTA) Memorandum of Understanding – *Trish Hull, Council Member* (5 minutes)

8. COUNCIL BUSINESS:

- A. Discussion and Potential Action Regrading 2025 Municipal Election – *Diana Baun, City Recorder* (10 minutes)
- B. Discussion and Potential Action Regarding Appropriate Conditions to Consider Vacating Dora Street – *Paul Ashton, City Attorney* (10 minutes)

9. MANAGER/CITY ATTORNEY UPDATES (10 minutes)

10. COUNCIL REPORTS (10 minutes)

11. CLOSED SESSIONS IF NEEDED AS ALLOWED PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE §52-4-205

- A. Discussion of the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.
- B. Strategy sessions to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation.
- C. Strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property.
- D. Discussion regarding deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems; and
- E. Other lawful purposes as listed in Utah Code §52-4-205

12. ADJOURN

ZOOM MEETING: Topic: Magna City Council Meeting

When: March 11, 2025, 06:00 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Register in advance for this webinar at:

https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_3r3CGOQxSnSF7mFJeJVwgQ

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

Upon request with three (3) working days’ notice, the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District, in support of Magna City, will make reasonable accommodations for participation in the meeting. To request assistance, please call (385) 377-9466 – TTY 711.

A copy of the foregoing agenda was posted at the following locations on the date posted below: Magna City website at <https://magna.utah.gov/> and the Utah Public Notice Website at <https://www.utah.gov/pmn/>. Pursuant to State Law and Magna Ordinance, Councilmembers may participate electronically. Pursuant to Utah Code § 52-4-205, parts of meetings may be closed for reasons allowed by statute.

POSTED: March 5, 2025



MAGNA CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP MEETING

February 25, 2025 @ 6:00 PM
WEBSTER COMMUNITY CENTER
8952 West Magna Main Street
Magna, Utah 84044

MAGNA CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

Council Members Present:

Eric Barney, Mayor
Steve Prokopis
Audrey Pierce
Trish Hull
Mick Sudbury

Council Member(s) Excused:

Staff Present:

David Brickey, City Manager
Paul Ashton, Legal Counsel
Diana Baun, City Recorder
Daniel Torres, Economic Development Manager
Lt. Shane Manwaring, UPD
Chief Del Craig, UPD
Madison Warner, Municipal Planner
Dave Sanderson, Accountant

Others Present:

Kari Duckworth

6:00 PM – PUBLIC MEETING

1. Call to Order

Mayor Barney, presiding, called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

2. Determine Quorum

A quorum was present, allowing the meeting to proceed.

3. Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

MAGNA COUNCIL MEMBERS

MAYOR ERIC BARNEY, MAYOR PRO TEM AUDREY PIERCE,
COUNCIL MEMBER TRISH HULL, COUNCIL MEMBER STEVE PROKOPIS,
COUNCIL MEMBER MICK SUDBURY

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Gary Collins – Lives in Lakeridge. His property taxes go up but the value of his house goes down due to the slum houses in the neighborhood. The house at 7708 W Glenwood, which he has complained about several times, continues to get worse with more cars, including cars from out of state, folks crawling through fences in the side of the house, cars being parked out back and always being shuffled, constant noise; why is this going on, the yard is full of junk. The home at 3398 S Broadway has two huge trailers full of scrap bicycles, not including the ones in the yard. Lakeridge he's afraid is turning into a dump. Each month more houses are junked up and I am at my wits end. Nothing gets better, the older folks are upset from the noise and eyesores going on. He no longer knows what to do besides coming to the council to complain and whine. More folks are witnessing what's going on, we want our streets and neighborhoods back. What can be done, what can they do. Folks are becoming unsettled.

Miguel Muneur – He is the resident and owner of Dora Street, and he is coming back again after almost three months of waiting for a response from the council regarding the vacation of Dora Street. He doesn't know how to get a hold of the council, he calls and emails, but nobody answers. Please help him out, how does he communicate with the council, his elected officials. He emailed Mayor Barney and Mr. Brickey personally a few times without any answers, Mayor Barney is the representative of his district and he needs a response. Is the council working on this issue, because he didn't see the agenda published online. He noted he was waiting for an answer from Mr. Ashton last week but didn't receive it and he doesn't know what to do. The last time he was here at the beginning of the month he was begging the city to help him get guidance on what to do. He understands the form here doesn't allow the council to answer questions, but where else can he go to get answers, who can he talk to after these meetings that can give him some answers. As a voter in this area, he would not be motivated to vote for this current council, he has been dealing with this for three years and losing money. He just needs someone to talk to, to tell him the process and what's going on to move forward.

5. STAKEHOLDER REPORTS

A. Unified Police Department

Chief Del Craig – reported on the increased incidents of graffiti happening in District 4, otherwise things are going well.

Mayor Barney asked for updates on the carjacking or kidnapping that happened this past weekend.

Lt. Shane Manwaring shared that it started as a 911 call where the caller was unable to speak, so they started tracking the phone. Eventually they caught up with the car, which was outside the Magna City boundaries. It was a domestic violence situation.

B. Pleasant Green Cemetery

David Brickey shared this past month the cemetery sold four lots, opening and closing two plots, for a total of six events in the cemetery.

C. Magna 4th of July

Kari Duckworth – Had second meeting on February 18, where they chose the theme “Reflections” for the year. They have decided to do some revamping to their logo, keeping it the same but potentially changing the color a bit while adding the verbiage “celebrating community spirit and tradition.” She met with the MSD Monday about the construction, they are still in the bidding process. She is not feeling confident that there will be a parade but they will still move forward, redoing all the vendor, parade and volunteer forms to include in bold letters due to construction there may be an alternate route or a cancellation of the parade. She and Council Member Sudbury discussed potential backup options and it will be discussed some more in their next meeting. They may include more at the park, but she noted the parade is free so they would want to come up with activities and events that don’t require additional costs. Council Member Hull told her the budget had been discussed and she asked if she was able to move forward, before she spends any money on things like the carnival since that requires a 50% deposit. She also asked the council to let her know if there if any specific information they would like from her meetings.

Mayor Barney didn’t anticipate any issues having the budget approved by the MSD, there is plenty of money in other areas that he believes the council could fund that deposit from if something went wrong.

6. **PRESENTATION ITEMS** – None

7. **CONSENT AGENDA**

A. Approve City Council Minutes – ***Mayor Eric Barney***

1. February 11, 2025 City Council Meeting

Correction needed – The correct spelling is Cyprus not Cypress.

Council Member Sudbury moved to approve the minutes with the spelling correction noted above. Council Member Hull seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

8. **COUNCIL BUSINESS**

A. FY2026 Administrative Budget Discussion – ***Dave Sanderson, Accountant***

Dave Sanderson reviewed the financial report materials included in the published meeting packet.

Mayor Barney asked about the budget for FY2026, noting they budget based on what they would be asking for back from the MSD based on sales tax revenues collected. In addition, there are additional pools of money that should be included in the budget review process, including unrestricted fund balance, CARES Money, MET tax money collected so far, and

ARPA Funds; those funds all added together come to \$5,035,000. In their budget exercise they did not account for budgeting any of those funds. They discussed the potential of doing projects outside of the MSD with that money, and options for those projects.

Mr. Sanderson discussed the minimums and maximums allowed on fund balances, noting the calculations are made from the MSD's side. If the city wanted to flip how things work, the city could create their own in-house accounting, collecting all the money themselves and paying the MSD their fees. In his opinion it's only a matter of time before that happens, but there aren't any current cities or towns doing that with the MSD.

Mayor Barney noted that only cities now collecting the MET Tax are the ones coming up against this issue, because they are now creating revenue that is solely theirs. He discussed Brighton who has separate accounts for revenues outside of the B and C Road Funds and Sales Tax. He has been speaking with MSD staff about whether the MSD is set up to be every member community's accountant, is that a core service that was anticipated or with the changes should the cities be doing their own thing; this will be brought before the MSD Board for discussion soon. As he interprets the statute, a city can send a letter to the MSD stating they will be collecting their own sale tax revenue, but it also requires the MSD gets all the sale tax revenue, so the city is a pass-through.

Council Member Hull asked about ARPA, noting she believed they had already decided where those funds would be going.

Mayor Barney responded they had decided on categories for that money like roads, parks, etc., but the actual projects are to be determined by the city.

Mr. Sanderson described how it could look if the city chose to do their own accounting, noting they would need software and the ability to pay paychecks for those the MSD does not pay.

Mayor Barney also noted that the MSD has latitude in terms of where the sale tax funds go, as each city grows there is demand for unique services. Should the MSD be building out staff and capacity for a service that is only beneficial to one or two cities, or should it just be directly shared services that everyone uses. He believes things are set up to sustain a specific set of shared services, but there will also be individual services outside of that they will need to staff and handle on their own.

Council Member Prokopis asked if Mr. Sanderson believed that they could create a situation where the MSD manages the sale tax revenue with their accounting to stay consistent with other MSD members, but Magna adds all other revenue sources to Mr. Sanderson for management.

Mr. Sanderson responded that it would be a happy medium at this time, but he thinks eventually they could have all of that under the city's control and management. This is what he does, he already does it for 15 other entities Magna and this would only take a little more time in his schedule. It's only a matter of time before Magna becomes like Brighton and then the

opportunity moves on to becoming more like Millcreek. However, at least Magna has the decision to make where Millcreek only chipped off one piece at a time and they are still contracted. Millcreek took pieces at a time when they didn't like what was going on, with code enforcement being the very first thing.

Mayor Barney noted they were in a different situation because they had a clause to back out of the MSD for the first year and they made that choice, contracting back with the MSD. Magna did not have that clause, and therefore is statutorily bound to the MSD, along with the sales taxes.

Mr. Sanderson noted they do have options to notify the MSD if they want to leave, but if they stayed, they could still handle that sale tax money while passing it through. He has been around for too long and it's all about home control, even if it costs more. He has seen Magna's frustration with code enforcement over and over.

Mayor Barney's issue currently is that they are not looking at Magna's budget as one large pot, they are looking at it as one piece coming out of the MSD.

Mr. Sanderson stated he manages other cities that are a tenth the size of Magna, including the town of Bluff who has only \$250,000 a year, whereas Magna is looking at \$500,000 a month in sale taxes. Plenty of cities do it, and he wants the cities to know what's in their best interest.

Council Member Hull asked if they could run the Magna United Committee Grant money through the other pot, rather than through the MSD, since that grant money comes to the city.

Mayor Barney stated that the way he understands it, the fiscal agent for the Communities that Care (CTC) is Magna City, not the MSD. However, with the MSD being the service provider these types of things were inherited. Not every community has a CTC, so they are in theory a burden on the MSD because they are handling this extra feature no one else has.

Mr. Sanderson noted that Pleasant View Cemetery is a perfect example, since not every city has a cemetery. Originally Greg was keeping his own accounting, then it moved to the MSD, and it is beyond their purview. If the city did their own accounting they would take over the cemetery, Communities that Care, ARPA money, and everything besides sales tax and B and B Road Funds.

Council Member Hull asked if that was something Mr. Sanderson could handle.

Mr. Sanderson replied that yes, he does it all the time. He discussed Point City, where he does their accounting, along with Erda and even the Soldier Summit Water District. He was involved in Millcreek in the beginning for three to five years, until they got too big and needed someone inside. Magna doesn't need someone inside yet, but there will be a day when they get big enough that they will need a full-time finance person.

Council Member Prokopis noted that they do need to realize there are economies of scale to be gained by being part of a larger organization. It's one thing to get control back of their money, but that would also include the cost of overhead that comes with that control which is currently shared with the other MSD communities. There is a breakover point the city will eventually meet, and it will make more financial sense to bring things in-house, but he wants to make sure they are there before they ever make a decision like that.

Mr. Sanderson noted that many councils make decision on home rule versus economic rules, because they control their own destiny.

Mayor Barney believes there will be a cost either way but determining the amount and cost benefit is a part of that. The MSD has already hired another accountant, giving them two, and with accounting for the MET money and other financials there are conversations about potentially expanding those duties or just saying they are set up to only do the MSD's accounting, not every city's separate accounting. His best understanding is that Brighton's financials are audited as a part of the MSD's audit, because the MSD has to have their individual finances as well for their larger audit; the MSD has been paying for Brighton's audit since they joined.

Mr. Sanderson responded that it would be the same until Magna flipped things and took everything on in-house and divested themselves through the withdrawal process, changing from a full member community to just contracting with the MSD.

Mayor Barney stated that the MSD would also have to allow Magna to contract back with them, which could also be an issue.

Mr. Sanderson commented they could look at contracting with the County as well, as many of the other smaller cities do.

Council Member Sudbury asked if there have been any updates or changes to the budget since the retreat.

Mr. Sanderson noted he has to send paperwork to the MSD by February 28th but said things can be changed and asked if there was anything else that needed to be changed after discussion at the retreat.

Mayor Barney asked if the city donating money to a non-profit organization within the community should be coming out of administrative costs, or if that should be coming out of other revenue sources. He knows there will be a budget discussion with the MSD amongst all the communities and whether or not things should be included in the administrative budgets or not.

David Brickey pointed out that the city's MET will be generating probably \$1 million next year, and there are contributions where the money is coming directly from the residents as a result that the city might want to pay back to the community by way of that MET contribution. The city

will be able to create their own pathways if that's the direction they want to go, there are options for that.

Mr. Sanderson noted that employing him and an assistant for him could come right out of that MET fund as well.

Mayor Barney noted the city received two requests for funds, with an additional request still pending. One from the museum, one from the Town Council, and the Empress is planning on submitting one as well.

Council Member Hull noted that \$5000 of the Town Council's request has already been budgeted, so that amount needs to be reduced.

Mayor Barney disclosed that he has previously sat on the Board for the Empress, and he was just elected their chairman. The request was to help upgrade failing electrical in the building, he believes they are asking for \$5000.

Council Member Pierce shared her thoughts that something like an Arts Council could have the money set aside for groups like the museum or the Empress to request funds from. She has a harder time approving requests for these independent community organizations, unless it goes through another group like the Community Council or something like an Arts Council.

The council was ok with putting all of the requested funds into a "various" account that they could go through later before disbursement, and they agreed on adding \$25,000 to that account.

Mayor Barney shared this discussion has come up because the MSD has asked all communities to contact utility companies and others sending checks to the cities to instruct them to send those to the MSD in their name on behalf of the cities so they can deposit that money into a main account and then keep track on paper for each city. Legally, that changes the ownership of the money and creates a trustee type situation. This means the city has no control over that money. The city has always viewed their budget as the money requested back from the MSD, but they actually have additional revenue coming in and funds that have been sitting there and need to be budgeted for, rather than just sitting.

Mr. Sanderson noted that they could make a budget amendment in the future.

Council Member Sudbury motioned to approve the tentative budget with the additions above. Council Member Hull seconded the motion; vote was 5-0 unanimous in favor.

B. Capital Improvement Project Requests – *Tolin Hessel, Project Manager*

Tamaran Woodland and the council reviewed Attachments A and B from the previous meeting, showing the suggested Capital Improvement Projects and a map of grant project locations.

Council Member Prokopis brought attention to the fact that most of the projects are in District 1, but there are needs in other districts. He admitted he may have missed the opportunity to add projects from his district, but he is wondering who was making the prioritizing decisions. He understands some districts could have more needs than others, but for the large majority of projects to be in one district is concerning to him.

Mayor Barney shared that every single road in District 1 is in an orange and red status, they haven't been touched since the township was created. All the other road maintenance has been happening with overlays and basic upkeep, which keeps the roads from getting that bad.

Council Member Prokopis agreed that this area of town might have the highest need but is it right to delay work in other areas for years because of those higher needs.

Council Member Sudbury asked about the MSD's role in these project decisions.

Mayor Barney explained the MSD's role is recommendations from Public Works, they put in what needs to be done in that area, then the other things fill behind them. They also include grant money received and available in their decisions before factoring in projects that will have a higher cost burden.

Council Member Prokopis acknowledged some of the projects in his area had huge price tags, so he understands how those could have been bumped, but then they should be moving to the top of the list for the next year. He just asked to have this process done more holistically.

Mayor Barney added that in the past few years the MSD has introduced the SmartSheet, where they ask a representative of each council to share what projects they feel should have priority to help make those decisions even more beneficial for each community.

Council Member Sudbury discussed Magna Main and the issues there, noting that they are planning to spend all this money before the area redevelopment has begun, and it could mean the roads are back to being in bad shape before the main work is done. He thinks the traffic isn't being factored into this decision on Magna Main, specifically the UTA bus that comes down Main Street every day, and despite the high school leaving the bus stops the traffic completely which needs to be addressed. The council members discussed using the unused parking for the busses to pull into for pick-ups, and they discussed the large numbers of stops along that road as well.

Council Member Hull asked about the fifth of a fifth money, and Mayor Barney responded that has to go to roads, so it has to go to the MSD.

Ms. Woodland shared the MSD reached out in November and asked for the list of projects. At that point they take those projects and divide them up by which department they fall under. Each department gets their list, and Engineering then takes those lists from the departments and ranks them with a priority to projects with grants. She expressed the MSD's commitment

to working with the city to get the best outcome possible, noting that they know what's important to their city.

Council Member Hull's issue is with the city generating the list. She might have time to drive around the entire district, but she doesn't know enough to know what needs work; that's not her area of expertise. She thought there were some studies or others giving specialist input on what needs work the most, which is what she would prefer.

Dan Torres shared there are funds specifically allocated for Magna and gave the example of the Corridor Preservation Funds. There is a maintenance study done by Public Works that he is trying to get his hands on, as well as working on getting the Transportation Master Plan done. His goal is to have these projects be more data driven, leaving them less subjective. As staff they would like to have these projects identified through data, rather than through the council's preferences since that can cause political issues and lean more subjectively towards certain things.

C. Consider Resolution R2025-03, Adopting Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District's Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan – *Madison Warner, Municipal Planner*

Madison Warner is here to answer any questions the council might have regarding the plan presented at the last meeting.

Council Member Hull noted that in past emergencies the city had direct coordination with the County's EOC, but with this new plan it appears that the city has to go through the MSD's Emergency Center; adding another level that in an emergency could be a complication.

Ms. Warner noted that there is definitely a lot of complexity to how emergencies work. The intention is that the flow will start and end at the local level, utilizing each level's resources before moving on to the next one. Of course, if there is something major like an earthquake, the local resources would be used up quickly and that would go almost straight to the county. It can be an ongoing discussion, but the MSD has certain resources the city could use and she used the example of the strip mall fire in Kearns recently where they were able to use the MSD's resources and bring in extra dump trucks. There are some things the city will have to utilize through the MSD first, and how that all ties together is something that would be shown through a training scenario. The plan gives them the foundation to build into future trainings.

Council Member Pierce explained they are adopting the MSD's general plan, but they will then work with Madison in the future to adapt the plan for Magna with the city's specific concerns and risks. Those specific plans would be added in future annexes in the plan, and there are additional future plans coming when this is reviewed this year. She is okay with moving this forward now, with discussions happening further in the near future.

Council Member Hull moved to approve Resolution R2025-03, Adopting the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District's Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan. Council Member Pierce seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

D. Discussion of 2025 Municipal Election Voting Options – *David Brickey, City Manager*

David Brickey shared the dates for candidate filing with traditional voting methods, as well as the dates for filing with the Rank Choice Voting options. He shared the costs, noting that Rank Choice Voting will probably be a higher cost since the cost is directly split between cities choosing to use it. The city currently has \$35,000 set aside, which should be enough to cover a standard election.

Council Member Prokopis asked if they had a historic count of how many cities used rank choice last year, and Mr. Brickey noted he knew of a few but would try and get a count to them after the meeting. Some of the cities did not have positive experiences, while some had no complaints.

Mr. Brickey noted that the City Recorder, Diana Baun is ready to attend the next meeting with more info on the two options.

Council Member Pierce feels that having that presentation would be a good way to get all the information out there and help them make a more informed decision.

Council Member Sudbury shared that the issues he has heard of with ranked voting are that people don't know how it works.

Mayor Barney and Council Member Sudbury were both in favor of traditional voting.

Council Member Pierce is in favor of ranked voting, but her seat is not one of the seats being elected this year.

Council Member Sudbury added that not doing ranked voting creates an opportunity for a primary election, which encourages candidates to show up and actually make an effort, rather than their name just showing up on the ballot with no effort at all.

Mayor Barney agreed, noting that part of the issue in Sandy was that those receiving the most votes didn't actually end up winning. There was no consensus between council members, so he asked that the City Recorder bring a presentation on both options to help answer questions.

9. CITY MANAGER/CITY ATTORNEY UPDATES

David Brickey shared the council has chosen to go with a six-member form of government, and he is in the process of collecting descriptions of codes and processes from other entities. He will forward the council members the description of South Jordan's council rules, along with a

link from the Utah League of Cities and Towns regarding the six-member form of government. At its base, they allocate the authority of both the City Manager and the Mayor, which he would like to see drafted right away to avoid it becoming a campaign issue. From the retreat, there is a committee from the MSD being formed regarding parking and snow removal that will be sent to the council to review. He will also be sending everyone the planning commission member histories, to follow up on filling the empty position and two alternate positions. He attended a URS training that was very informative, for those with URS connections he sent the questions shared to the URS representative and he will forward that response as soon as he receives it. The MSD is in the middle of preparing to move, and will be closed completely next Thursday and Friday, March 6 and 7, to disconnect from the county. Those with phones will be accessible during that closure, but they will not have access to computers or other info. They are anticipating re-opening on March 10 at the new location.

Council Member Pierce asked about the website, for those applying for permits, will that be affected by the move and break from the county.

Mr. Brickey responded that every email sent out from MSD Staff includes the new information and a warning about the closure.

Dan Torres added that the software for those services will not be affected, and citizens will be able to access those applications without interruption.

Paul Ashton shared the legislature ends next week, so they will be waiting to see what comes out of that.

10. COUNCIL REPORTS

Council Member Audrey Pierce – forwarded an email to Mr. Brickey, Animal Services would like to come out to Magna for a licensing and microchipping event. The email had the suggested date and Mr. Brickey is checking to see if that date will work for this building. It will be a three-hour event, and once the date is decided Animal Control Services will do all the marketing and other stuff. As a follow-up to the question two weeks ago about the scanning and microchipping, she was told there was training held and the group in charge is willing to come out and do more training if desired. She verified there were 5 devices given to the city.

Council Member Trish Hull – Fire School 101 is coming up; it's a great opportunity. The governor signed the bill that prohibits the Fire, Police and Teachers Unions from collective bargaining.

Council Member Steve Prokopolis – they have been waiting a few years to have a pancake breakfast hosted by the UFA back in Magna, they have landed on the date of May 17 from 0700 to 1000 hours at the newest showpiece, Station 102. They discussed parking being an issue, but they would still like to show that location off to the public. UPD's last meeting was filled with legislative updates, with several issues being watched by lobbyists and the UPD. They are still trying to separate the UPD and Sherriff's Office, actively looking at office space

and it sounds like they are getting closer to pulling away UPD's Property & Evidence, Records from the Sheriff. After the separation the UPD was down around 30 officers, they are getting back nearly fully staffed with mandatory overtime being removed.

Council Member Mick Sudbury – Wasatch Front Waste talked about the dumpsters and those who tried last year but didn't get a reservation will be first on the list this year during the online registration.

Mayor Eric Barney – MSD had two budget presentations, all of the subcontracts with the MSD have to present their budget requests at a Board Meeting, with Animal Services and Parks & Recreations presenting at the last meeting. Animal Services is asking for a 5% increase over the previous year's budget to account for pay increases for staff, with the total request for Magna being \$362,730. Parks & Recreation does all of the city's playground inspections and at Copper Park they handle everything related to the location. They have asked for \$270,000 for the maintenance of Magna Copper Park, which is inline with the request last year. They bill the MSD line item by line item for the work there and based on the look/condition of the park they have never come close to \$207,000 in maintenance. They are budgeting for it but not doing the work.

Council Member Sudbury asked how they could push that work to be done.

Mayor Barney expressed he believes it's not a matter of money, it's a matter of manpower and capacity. He thinks it might be a good idea, for the landscape maintenance portion, to look outside of the county for those services and see what they cost. Since the MSD is already budgeting amounts for that, any outside service hired would be covered under that.

Council Member Hull asked about pushing the county for working on the other parks in the city that are wholly owned by and under the county's control, as they need the work badly.

Mayor Barney noted that Todd Christenson is the docent at Pleasant Green, and they speak often. He also doesn't get much of a response from the county with the graffiti and bathroom damage/issues. He suggested writing to their County Council Members to bring attention to those issues. The pavilions are available to rent out, and County Parks & Rec has maintained the responsibility to manage that, but as part of that responsibility they have assumed county codes and ordinances apply to the parks, rather than Magna City codes and ordinances, and they are not using Magna's fee schedule. This is a problem because Magna's fee schedule is different than the county schedule, particularly where it relates to mass gatherings. That money is also going to the county, rather than the city, which only applies to Magna Copper Park, not the other parks in the city. When he asked if the county would be willing to continue the reservations but adhere to Magna's fee schedule and ensure the revenue comes to Magna, Partick pressed back and said the park has been and will always be considered a regional park. Mayor Barney pointed out that it is leased by Magna from Kennecott and is not a county property, but they had to agree to disagree in the moment with no movement being made.

Mr. Brickey will check with Roth Landscaping on cost estimates and believes that the reservations can be moved to the MSD, they just need to make sure everyone involved is on board and ready for that transition.

Dan Torres noted that Roth doesn't do maintenance work on parks like emptying trash cans, but did note that Big T Recreation is under contract with the MSD for playground inspections. IN the MSD's relationship with both Big T and Roth, they have found that the county was leaving a lot of things not done, which has required a higher level of service at the parks and a higher cost to bring locations up to par. In addition, he spoke with the county today regarding the scheduling of Copper Park. Once a year they meet and approve contracts with sports leagues and users, that meeting is this Friday and they said they would have a list of those contractual relationships that he could bring back to the council to be aware of who is using that park.

Mayor Barney finds it interesting that the county is engaging in those activities on property the City of Magna has responsibility over. The amount of revenue generated from all those contracts and pavilion rentals last year was \$28,690, with all that revenue going to the county. He believes that those higher up in the county understand this is leased property between the City of Magna and Kennecott, and for those reasons it is a Magna Property. However, it appears that Parks & Rec is under the old assumption that it's a county property, a regional park, that they are going to keep and maintain as such.

Council Member Sudbury noted that when the county comes to clean the pavilions they do a terrible job, with local families even going back with their own sprayers to fully clean the area they had used. The county's excuse is manpower, and they just can't get enough people that want to work.

Council Member Prokopis expressed his desire to explain that the City of Magna is the customer here, and if the benefits of the services are not met they need to move somewhere else. None of the parks on the west side of the valley look anything like those on the east side of the valley.

The council discussed having the MSD push back on the county as well as focusing on going elsewhere for services for their locally owned parks to make them better, specifically Pleasant Green and Magna Regional. They acknowledged the local companies available do not offer all the services they need, but it would be a way to explore other options and see what they can get outside of the county.

Council Member Hull moved to recess the City Council Meeting to move into Closed Session to discuss the purchase, exchange or lease of real property. Council Member Sudbury seconded the motion; the vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

11. CLOSED SESSIONS IF NEEDED AS ALLOWED PURSUANT TO UTAH CODE §52-4-205

- A. Discussion of the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.
- B. Strategy sessions to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation.
- C. Strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property.**
- D. Discussion regarding deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems; and
- E. Other lawful purposes as listed in Utah Code §52-4-205

12. ADJOURN

Council Member Hull moved to adjourn the February 25, 2025 Magna City Council Meeting. Council Member Prokopis seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor.

The February 25, 2025 Magna City Council Meeting adjourned at 8:49 PM

This is a true and correct copy of the February 25, 2025 City Council Meeting Minutes, which were approved on March 11, 2025.

Attest:

Diana Baun, Magna City Recorder

Eric Barney, Magna City Mayor

From: [David R. Brickey](#)
To: [Diana Baun](#)
Cc: [ebarney](#); [Paul Ashton](#)
Subject: Fwd: UTA Process
Date: Monday, March 3, 2025 12:39:37 PM

The UTA Bus pass information is set out below.

David R. Brickey
Magna City, City Manager
cell: 385-977-2275

Begin forwarded message:

From: Amber Lietz <ALietz@saltlakecounty.gov>
Date: March 3, 2025 at 11:50:25 AM MST
To: dbrickey <dbrickey@magna.utah.gov>
Cc: jpetersen <jpetersen@magna.utah.gov>
Subject: UTA Process

David,

A transportation need was expressed by UPD's victim service advocate and youth program coordinators.

We consulted with our Federal Grant Manager and was approved to utilize grant funds to purchase discounted bus passes for these programs.

Magna United completed an application for the Human Service Fare Program with UTA.

UTA approved the application and responded with the attached contract.

In order to meet contract requirements, the following process has been and is being organized:

Once the process is finalized, we plan to submit to you and then city council for review, approval, and direction on who they would like to sign the contract.

This partnership and the offering of UTA bus passes will be available during the course of the grant which is expected to end September 2026.

Who qualifies:

MKYC Youth – Parent/Guardian Participants (Low Income Family)	Ages 12-18 Income level 200% or less of federal poverty guidelines
Magna United Youth Coalition Youth Participants (Low Income Family)	Ages 12-18 Income level 200% or less of federal poverty guidelines

Income Family)	
Individuals Experiencing Hardship	Receiving victim services

Application Form.

<https://app.smartsheet.com/b/form/1cc04b85a9b14a6c95970c3e4085b374>

The completed application form and any supporting documents will submit to MagnaUnitedCTC@gmail.com. Following submission of the application the individual or their advocate will be contacted by a representative to complete next steps. Contact: Julia Wentz at jwentz@magna.utah.gov.

Tracking Process

Julia Wentz – Point of Contact.

Tracking Sheet:

[<image001.png>](#)

[UTA Pass Tracking- CVIPI.xlsx](#)

Complete the following:

(a) confirm the eligibility of the recipient;
 (b) print the recipient’s name on the card in permanent ink; and
 (c) maintain the following records for Authorized Users:(a) recipient’s name and the card number of each issued Pass, including replacement Passes; (b) the name of the person issued each Pass; and (c) the card number of each unissued Pass. UTA maintains the right, upon reasonable notice, to request and receive copies of Pass issuance records during the term of this Agreement.

(d) Issue Replacement Passes for Passes that are lost, stolen, defective, or otherwise require replacement. Process all Pass replacements on UTA’s partner website: www.tap2rideuta.com. UTA printed electronic fare cards shall be provided to Sponsor to be used as replacement Passes.

(e) Deactivate Passes within three business days if an individual, who was issued a pass, is no longer qualified as an Authorized User. Sponsor must process all card deactivations on UTA’s partner website:www.tap2rideuta.com.

(f) Be responsible and accountable for all electronic fare cards and to treat unissued electronic fare cards with the same care and safeguards as cash.

Secure all fare cards in lockbox.

Information Sheet to Pass Recipient (Must be signed upon receipt of pass)

1. A Pass issued to an Authorized User under this Agreement, when displayed together with valid photo identification upon request, shall be recognized as full fare provided the Authorized User “taps on” and “taps off” and receives a green light at designated readers when riding UTA Local Transit Services. Failure to “taps on” and “taps off” may result in a citation or fine pursuant to UTA Ordinances.
2. Passes are non-transferable to any other Cardholder, member of the

Cardholder's household, or any other person and must not be shared with any other person.

3. If a pass is lost, stolen, defective, or otherwise requires replacement notify _____ immediately. Failure to do so may result in a deactivated pass.
4. UTA has the right to confiscate a Pass or electronic fare card at any time (without notice to the Sponsor) from any person who UTA reasonably believes is not an eligible Authorized User. UTA has the right to confiscate any Pass that UTA reasonably believes has been duplicated, altered, or used in any unauthorized way. UTA reserves the right to pursue claims or demands against, or seek prosecution of, any person who duplicates, alters, or uses the Pass in any unauthorized way.
5. Privacy. UTA does not maintain any personally identifiable information of Sponsor's Authorized Users. As such, UTA shall not disclose any personally identifiable information to any third parties, except as required by law.

Financial Process

UTA Invoices will be submitted to MSD monthly containing a breakdown of Premium versus Standard passes issued. Sponsor agrees to pay UTA the amount invoiced within thirty (30) days of date of invoice. Sponsor agrees to pay a one percent (1%) late fee on balances due that remain unpaid within thirty (30) days from the date indicated on the invoice. Invoices that remain unpaid within sixty (60) days from the date indicated on the invoice will result in the deactivation of all unissued Pass inventory and Sponsor's participation in the Program will be suspended.

Minimum purchase

Pass Type	Minimum Purchase
30-Day Pass	\$531.25 (25 passes)
Daily Pass	\$250.00 (200 passes)

Reimbursement invoices will be created by Amber Lietz and submitted to SLCo for grant reimbursement. The total amount paid by MSD for the UTA bus passes will be reimbursed through grant funds by SLCo.

Work-Life balance is important, I appreciate your time.

I welcome a response when the time is right for you and appreciate all that you contribute.

Thank you

<image001.png>

Amber Lietz
Youth Justice Coalition Coordinator
Salt Lake County
Office of Homelessness &
Criminal Justice Reform
alietz@saltlakecounty.gov

<Complete_Human_Service_Fare_Program_Contract_.pdf>